

Document Citation

| Title | Are these our children? |
|---------------|--|
| Author(s) | William K. Everson |
| Source | Publisher name not available |
| Date | |
| Туре | program note |
| Language | English |
| Pagination | |
| No. of Pages | 1 |
| Subjects | |
| Film Subjects | Are these our children?, Ruggles, Wesley, 1931 |

WARNING: This material may be protected by copyright law (Title 17 U.S. Code)

[] 200 20000 OUR CHILDREN? (Rko Radio 1931) Written and directed by 'asley law los Senario: Howard Estabrock; Cámera, Ico Tover; Music, Max Stainer; S main Wach Dele Linden, Rochelle Hudson, Arline Judge, Ben Alexander, Neryl Hercer, William Orlamond, Harry Shaten, Ralf Harolue, Roberta Gala, Mary Filly Batts, Regula Barlin

It may sound like limited praise to refer to "Are These Our Children?" as Meeley Romalies' best ploture. Normally a rather stodgy and heavy-handed director, he thursd with Frank Hoyd the distinction of having made a number of VERY profitable morids, whose basic values however were provided by script or cast, and any one which could probably have been turned into a much better picture by another alreaters But "Are These Our Children?", which he wrote as well as directed, is clearly a project that excited him and that he felt was important; it is an intervely personal film in the same way that William K. H oward's not dis-civilar "Realdoor to Heaven" was personal. Moreover, in purely gramatical terms - the ast of the moving camera, cureful compositions, well-lit close-ups and very stylised transitional montage scenes and effects if it is much more of a movie than most of Ruggles' other films. It is also guite possibly the first genales salite film noir. Even though that phrase came. into usage only in the 40°s, and the "rules" of film noir refreastively and arbitrarily laid down, it does seen "Collev" all these rules even though preceding the genue by a decade. the most near documentary, at others with the casual realism of Warner Brothers, Ulle a moderately paced yet scmehow always very honest film. Erts Janden's which especially in the closing scenes, is quite remarkably sensitive, had never before or since has he had such a chance - nor done so well with such a mossifically difficult (and ticklish) scens as this final ons, which has more than a casual relationship to the climax of "An American Tragedy". In the face of the film's many virtues, its flaws are minor (ness, Already quite a long film, it suggests that it may have been longer, and edited severely before release. Linden's initial descent into crime is just too quick and inadequately motivated, and one or two transitions later seem a bit abrupt too. Beryl Mercarts type-casting - and equally hype-acting - is annoying, though probably much less 3 in 1931, when audiences hadn't had to sit through a whole decade of its On the whole, a major film of its day, and one that should be far better loom

Willion K. Dargrain