

Document Citation

Title Politics by magic: Antonio das Mortes

Author(s) Jack Fisher

Source Film Journal International

Date 1971

Type article

Language English

Pagination 32, 35

No. of Pages 2

Subjects

Film Subjects Antonio das Mortes, Rocha, Glauber, 1969

by JACK FISHER

Drama at Stanford University, is now Director of Theater at Norfolk State College in Virginia. His article on Visconti's The Damned appeared in the fall issue of Contempora.

The war is the state of the sta

The Western, or oater, is basically Arthurian in form and action. A strange warrior rides up to the castle (town), and by his prowess in arms (quick draw) he overcomes the evil baron (cattle baron). After saving the chastity of the local maiden (rancher's daughter), the knight (cowboy) rides off into the sunset (sunset). The knight-cowboy is pure, remains pure and is, therefore, invincible. His only reward is in satisfying his obligation to conquer evil.

Occasionally an Arthurian legend goes beyond the formula with interesting results. The most famous of these is Gawain and the Green Knight, in which the quest involves elements of the occult, religion and sexual promiscuity and finally has a social result in the establishment of the Order of the Garter.

If the average Western is Arthurian, then Antonio Das Mortes is the Gawain of the genre, for Glauber Rocha's film, too, includes the occult, the religious, the sexually rapacious and a social consequence, as well as all the trappings of the familiar myth.

The landscape, though Brazilian, is as rugged as any John Ford has ever put on film and includes all the standard accourrements: a corrupt town called Jardim das Piranhas, the Brazilian equivalent of Murderers' Gulch; a band of local Dalton boys, called congaceiros; a villainous landowner whose response to the bandits is to call in an outside Hired Gun.

True to tradition, the Hired Gun has a prodigious reputation, and the fact that he works with a rifle, wears a shawl-like garment, and speaks Portuguese does not obscure his archetypal role. His name is Antonio Das Mortes, and he is a man whose name is not only frightening, but whose prowess is awesome. He has previously wiped out all the bandits in the area.

In spite of seeming to be the familiar gunman, Antonio, from the moment of his arrival in Jardim das Piranhas, behaves strangely. He immediately fights a machete duel with the congacciro chieftain while holding a bandanna in

his teeth. After stabbing the congaceiro, who dies lingeringly and mysteriously through most of the rest of the film. Antonio finds a local priestesss who is both holy and sexy, and he sits down by her side in silence for virtually all the time the congaceiro is dying.

There are, of course, subclassifications of the basic formula that seem to apply here (not to the bandanna, certainly, but to his behavior). Antonio seems to be suffering from Old Gunfighter's Malaise. This is a common malady, probably invented as Western stars grew older, the symptoms of which are weariness, sadness and lethargy. (The Brazilians even have a special word for it, something like soldagio.) The Old Gunfighter recognizes a decline in his prodigious abilities, realizes how empty his life has been and wants to get out while he still can. Like Antonio, he usually sits quietly during most of the film (The Gunfighter is a classic example of this subgenre) and tries to avoid mixing with the Kid who wants to be the New Gun.

The problem with such a diagnosis of Antonio is that he does not seem te have all the qualifications for the Old Gunfighter's Malaise. He is still young and at the absolute peak of his powers, as the end of the film demonstrates. Although New Guns appear on the scene, Antonio does not seem to care one way or the other. He ignores them and continues to sit, and sit and sit, until finally he gets up and with amazing energy destroys everybody who has been against him in any way at all. What happened to Antonio during the time he sat by the priestess? What caused him to switch allegiances and destroy his former employers and their henchmen?

It is the answers to these questions that put Antonio Das Mortes into a category by itself among Westerns, in much the same way that the answer to the curious contest between Gawain and the Green Knight makes that legend unique.

What has happened to Antonio das Mortes is similar to what happened to his saintly namesake after he meditated in the desert; Antonio has acquired a revolutionary consciousness and in the process a new identity. The lesson he learns, presumably in part from the priestess, is not the simple one of the heart that satisfied Gregory Peck. Rather, he learns the harsher lesson hat he has been serving a corrupt Establishment, that he has been a hired-killer animal rather than a responsible social animal.

Once his consciousness has been aroused, Antonio clearly sees the nature of the Establishment that he serves: the landowner and his court wallowing in material abundance; the people terrorized, bullied and finally murdered. He is confronted, apparently for the first time, with the reality of the Establishment, which, like all Establishments since religion first helped the rise of capitalism, claims a superior moral position based on the possession of goods. He sees an Establishment that cries law and order when its possessions are threatened, while using these possessions to support corruption, sexual depravity, bribery of officials and even murder.

As he watches the brutalization of the people, the maniacal greed of the landowner, the nymphomania of his wife, the corruption of the police chief, all of which parade before him like allegorical figures in a morality play (which they are), Antonio's malaise deepens. He must be undergoing a recognition of his own responsibility. If the congaceiros, like Lampiao and Coirana, are against these monsters, and if he, Antonio, has killed the congaceiros, does he become the defender of the exploiters? Clearly the answer is yes, and the sickness deepens further.

Implied in Antonio's dilemma is the all-embracing question of the responsibility of the professional. As a Hired Gun, does Antonio have a responsibility to question the orders and money that impel him? There are many answers that have been given to this question: answers from a glass booth in Tel Aviv, answers from a grass village in My Lai. The answer that Rocha suggests in his film is more complex than most in that

oes beyond the simple "I do what it told" to investigate the conditions cessary for a responsible answer. Edition would probably be the suggestion of a politician. Rocha, the artist, is something else in mind.

Antonio, as a neutral professional ler, can have no conscience; his ength is in his commitment to his ofession. Since this is so, Antonio's sic nature must be changed. He must aroused to a revolutionary consciouss because the creation of the ideal volutionary involves organic change; only by some kind of rite of pasge can the irresistible killer become e irresistible revolutionary. At this int, the film becomes involved with a occult, with macumba and soul essession.

To understand what happens in the m, it is necessary to know something macumba, the nature religion of Brawhich is part Christianity, part black agic, part folklore and part the necesy of the poor. Macumba as a hybrid ligion involves the worship of both e black and white versions of the hristian saints. The Virgin Mary, for cample, is also the Reina del Mar, orshiped with white roses, rum and gars. The principal spirit is Isshu, who the Devil, and in whose honor midight services are held. At these serces the worshipers are often possessed, metimes by friendly spirits, sometimes v demons. In the film Black Or-HEUS, the long macumba possession rvice, complete with cigar-smoking ipao, was a vital part of Orpheus' arch.

In Antonio Das Mortes there does of seem to be any service proper, but I of the artifacts of macumba are tere: the black and white versions of se saint, George from Christianity, exosse (or Oxango) from voodoo; the hite priestess and the black priest; and, hove all, the service of possession, altough in this instance it is not achieved by the usual frenzy found in orthodox nacumba service.

Who is being possessed by whom is aggested throughout the film by various scidents. Antonio is being possessed by the congaceiro folk-hero Lampiao ith whom he was associated in the list scenes of the film. He kills Lambiao, who in turn is revered and studied by the village people. This act of possession accounts for the mysterious and angering death of the congaceiro Coi-

Lampiao in a kind of trusteeship until Antonio, the warrior equal to Lampiao, has been instructed by the priestess to receive the spirit. When Coirana is finally killed, with overtones of Christian murder, the spirit of Lampiao must pass on to Antonio or be lost. It is at this moment that Antonio's novitiate is over, and seized with the spirit, he begins his massacre of the New Guns.

During this slaughter of the wicked, Rocha introduces his most daring innovation—the singing of The Ballad of Lampaio—as if it were a description of what Antonio is doing. Both heroes, Lampiao in Hell and Antonio in the Garden, overcome superhuman odds, and as they proceed we begin to sense that the two actions, one described, one seen, are the same action. I think they are intended to be seen, and that we are to assume that Antonio has become infused either with the metaphorical spirit of Lampiao or with the actual spirit. Whichever is true, Antonio's destruction of the landowner and his henchmen is evidence of the conversion of the former enemy. The line of power for social justice has passed from Lampiao through Coirana to Antonio, and the awesome power of the neutral hired killer is harnessed for the revolutionary cause.

Whether this is a signal for a turn in the fortunes of the Revolution, as the road to Damascus was such a turn for Christianity, is not answered completely. After finishing his work, Antonio goes back to the city. We do not know if he has been brought to the village for this single task, as the astronaut in 2001 is taken beyond Infinity for a single mission. We do not know if Antonio has served as the vessel for Lampiao's vengeance or if his transformation has been total and he goes back to the city with his new identity.

The mysterious future is appropriate in this film that is so mysterious throughout. Every device that Rocha can use to suggest mystery and other-worldliness, he has used. The photography and setting create a bleak sense of separation. Seldom has photography so well suggested people perched on the very brink of wilderness. The harsh land-scape, the violent earth and the village isolated within the hostile surroundings create an atmosphere that is both beautiful, forbidding and strange. The modality of the film, which is reminiscent

of Antoniom, suggests a slow-paced surrender to the bleakness of the terrain, until the emotions somehow become reflected by the surroundings. Although Antonioni's landscapes are different, recking of abandonment by men, this primitive area recks of abandonment by gods; the emotional desolation of L'Av-VENTURA hangs heavy here.

The acting further enhances this mood. All of the actors take on a ritual quality, performing with a minimum of extraneous or unique detail. The lesser characters have an almost allegorical kind of representation; the major characters very nearly become personification of sins. Of Antonio himself; we know very little. He shows almost no humanity, certainly none of the folksy dog-and-children petting with which Hollywood dresses its Good Gunfighters. He is much more reminiscent of the Jesus in Pasolini's Gospel According TO ST. MATTHEW. Antonio is tough, serious and professional. Like Pasolini's Jesus, he does what he does with expertise and business-like dispatch. Neither figure is in the least sentimental, and if there is a difference, it is that during the course of the film Antonio lacks Jesus' sense of vision and dedication.

There are further suggestions of Pasolini in the spareness of the film, the matter-of-fact manner with which Rocha handles extraordinary events. This matter-of-factness is a hallmark of the modernity of the film. Unlike the Pearl Poet who feels required to explain the events of Gawain's adventure and to add to the moral, Rocha lets the events speak for themselves. Like Beckett and Pinter of the modern stage, Rocha's method is to arrange events that taken together will supply their own definitions and explanations. He elects to examine what happens before a man can change his mind, and out of that simplest of actions evolves an entire structure that is humanistic, ideological and ethnic.

Too often audiences refuse to accept a work of art on its own terms. Rather the work is expected to conform to whatever vagrant comparisons the viewer feels are appropriate. Some works resist this kind of re-creation by their insistence on their own logic. The audience that tries to turn Antonio Das Mortes into a mere Western will be frustrated and confused. All of which probably means that Glauber Rocha is a major artist. Certainly Antonio Das Mortes is a major film.