

Document Citation

Title The last of the Mohicans

Author(s) William K. Everson

Source Publisher name not available

Date

Type program note

Language English

Pagination

No. of Pages 1

Subjects

Film Subjects The last of the Mohicans, Seitz, George B., 1936

THE LAST OF THE MOHICANS (Edward Small-Reliance/United Artists, 1936) Directed by George B.Seitz Screenplay by Philip Dunne, John Balderston, Paul Perez and Daniel Meere from the nevel by James Fenimere Ceeper; Camera, Robert Planck; 91 mins.

With Randolph Scott, Henry Wilcexen, Heather Angel, Binnie Barnes, Bruce Cabet, Hugh Buckler, Robert Barrat, Philip Reed, Willard Rebertson, Frank McGlynn sr., Will Stanton, William V.Meng.

Rather surprisingly, since it is such a famous piece of Americana in literary form, "The Last of the Mehicans" hasn't been tee well (or frequently) handled by Hellywood. By far the best (and the definitive) version was the silent film made by Maurice Tournear and Clarence Brown in 1920, though one can have high hopes for the quality of an apparently lost German version of the same peried in which Bela Lugosi played net the villainous Magua but the hereic title rele. In the sound period, tenight's version is quite certainly the best, though the only competition was a preceding Mascet serial, rather cheap and talkative, and a dreadful mid-40's remake by Sam Katzman, and a tv series. This 1936 film takes many liberties with the eriginal Cooper stery, writing in such typical Hellywoodian elements as a leve stery for Hawkeye, and it even contrives to have the wrong girl killed off. The "impossibility" of an inter-racial leve story is however handled with rather more tact and compassion than usual, even if the outcome is predictably inevitable. As a piece of bleed-and-thunder action meledrama however, it helds up well. At the time it was reviewed as being exceptionally (and almost unacceptably) bleed-thirsty, and while it seems relatively tame in terms of teday's bleed-letting, the massacre sequence is still very pewerful (though surprisingly, not as savage as in the Tournour silent). The cance pursuits and outdoor action scenes are well-staged by silent action specialist George B. Seitz, an eld serial maestre whe later directed "Kit Carson" for Small. True, the location-filmed action sequences are marred by frequent intercuts to studio sets, but it's exciting stuff, and is certainly helped along by large-scale liftings of chunks of Max Steiner's "King Keng" score. The cast is quite strong, and Bruce Cabet has a field day as Magua -- matched by his villainy as the Ne.1 heodlum in "Let 'Em Have It". The white actors in makeup as Indians (especially William V. Mong) are far more convincing than those used by deMille (Victor Varconi, Paul Harvey and others) in the same year's "The Plainsman". "The Last of the Mohicans" was, rather surprisingly, one of a kind: despite its success, it inspired neither a sequel by Small, nor imitations by other studies. Small himself seemed to change directions immediately thereafter, making a curious little group of pictures for Rko ("Sea Devils", "The Teast of New York", "Super Sleuth") before returning to United Artists with a rather gentler brand of film: "The Duke of West Peint", "King of the Turf". Tenight's two films thus represent a kind of high peint of mid-30's Small meledrama; certainly (tegether with 1933's "I Cover the Waterfrent") his toughest films. Both, incidentally, premiered at the Rivoli Theatre in NY.

--- William K. Everson ---

Program ends: 10.57 (No discussion peried)

(Among later Small films of real interest and merit that I neglected to mention in the notes were the two Anthony Mann films "T Men" and "Raw Deal". These two films are certainly "tougher" than tenight's two, but semehow one tends to credit their style to Mann rather than Small).